LECTURE III Bi-Hamiltonian chains and it projections

Maciej Błaszak

Poznań University, Poland

Maciej Błaszak (Poznań University, Poland)

LECTURE III

Bi-Hamiltonian chains

 Let (M, Π) be a Poisson manifold with Π of constant rank (almost everywhere), with Poisson algebra given by the bracket

$$\{F_1, F_2\}_{\Pi} = \Pi(dF_1, dF_2) = \langle dF_1, \Pi dF_2 \rangle.$$

 Remark. In local Darboux coordinates (q₁, ..., q_n, p₁, ..., p_n, c₁, ..., c_m) Π takes the form

$$\Pi = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & I & 0 \\ -I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

where coordinates c_i are Casimir functions.

Definition

A linear combination $\Pi_{\lambda} = \pi_1 - \lambda \Pi_0$ $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ of two Poisson tensors Π_0, Π_1 is called a Poisson pencil if Π_{λ} is Poisson for any value of λ . Then Π_0 and Π_1 are called compatible.

Bi-Hamiltonian chains

 Given a Π_λ we can often construct a sequence of vector fields X_i that have two Hamiltonian representations (bi-Hamiltonian chains)

$$X_i = \Pi_1 dH_i = \Pi_0 dH_{i+1},$$

where $H_i \in C^{\infty}(M)$ are called Hamiltonians of a chain.

• Consider a bi-Poisson manifold (M, Π_0, Π_1) of dim M = 2n + m, where Π_0, Π_1 is a pair of compatible Poisson tensors of rank 2n. We further assume that Π_λ admits m Casimir functions which are polynomial in λ

$$H^{(k)}(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} H_i^{(k)} \lambda^{n_k - i}, \qquad k = 1, ..., m$$

so, that $n_1 + ... + n_m = n$ and $H_i^{(k)}$ are functionally independent.

Bi-Hamiltonian chains

• The collection of *n* bi-Hamiltonian vector fields

$$\Pi_{0}dH_{0}^{(k)} = 0$$

$$\Pi_{0}dH_{1}^{(k)} = X_{1}^{(k)} = \Pi_{1}dH_{0}^{(k)}$$

$$\Pi_{\lambda}dH^{(k)}(\lambda) = 0 \iff \qquad \vdots \qquad (3.1)$$

$$\Pi_{0}dH_{n_{k}}^{(k)} = X_{n_{k}}^{(k)} = \Pi_{1}dH_{n_{k}-1}^{(k)}$$

$$0 = \Pi_{1}dH_{n_{k}}^{(k)}$$

where k = 1, ..., m, define bi-Hamiltonian systems of Gel'fand-Zakharevich type. Notice that each chain starts from a Casimir of Π_0 and terminates with a Casimir of Π_1 .

Lemma

All $H_i^{(k)}$ pairwise commute wit respect to Π_0 and Π_1 .

< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト

GZ bi-Hamiltonian chain \iff Liouville integrable system

 Having GZ bi-Hamiltonian system the crucial toward construction of separation coordinates is the projection of second Poisson tensor Π₁ onto the symplectic foliation of the first Poisson tensor Π₀.

- Consider manifold M of dim M = m and foliation S consisting of leaves S_ν parametrized by ν ∈ ℝ^r, so r is codimension of every leave.
- Let \mathcal{Z} be a distribution transversal to S, i.e.

$$T_{x}M = T_{x}S_{\nu} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_{x}$$

where S_{ν} is a leave that passes through x.

• It defines a decomposition

$$TM
i X = X_{\parallel} + X_{\perp}, \qquad (X_{\parallel})_x \in T_x S_{\nu}, \quad (X_{\perp})_x \in \mathcal{Z}_x$$

and induces splitting of dual space

$$T^*_x M = T^*_x S_v \oplus \mathcal{Z}^*_x$$
,

where $T_x^* S_v$ annihilates \mathcal{Z}_x and \mathcal{Z}_x^* annihilates $T_x S_v$.

• Thus, any one-form α on M has a unique decomposition

$$T^*M \ni \alpha = \alpha_{\parallel} + \alpha_{\perp}, \qquad (\alpha_{\parallel})_x \in T^*_x S_{\nu}, \quad (\alpha_{\perp})_x \in \mathcal{Z}^*_x.$$

Definition

A function $F \in C(M)$ is called \mathcal{Z} -invariant if $L_Z F = Z(F) = 0$ for any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Obviously $dF \in T^*S$.

Definition

The Poisson tensor Π is said to be $\mathcal Z\text{-invariant}$ if

$$L_Z{F, G}_{\Pi} = 0$$

for any pair of \mathcal{Z} -invariant functions F, G and any $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$.

• For any Poisson tensor Π on M define the following bivector Π_D

$$\Pi_{D}(\alpha,\beta) := \Pi(\alpha_{\parallel},\beta_{\parallel}), \quad \alpha,\beta \in T^{*}M$$
(3.2)

 Π_D - deformation of Π .

Lemma

The image of Π_D is tangent to the foliation S.

Indeed,

$$\langle lpha, \Pi_D eta
angle = \langle lpha_\parallel, \Pi eta_\parallel
angle = 0 \ \ ext{for} \ \ eta \in \mathcal{Z}^* \ \Longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}^* \subset \ker \Pi_D.$$

• So, Π_D can be naturally restricted to any leave S_{ν} : $\pi = \Pi_{D|S}$.

Theorem If Π is Z-invariant, then Π_D is Poisson and so π . Maciej Blaszak (Poznań University, Poland) LECTURE III 8 / 18

Proof.

From \mathcal{Z} -invariant of Π : $L_Z \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle = 0$, so

$$d \, \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle \in T^*S \Longrightarrow \left(d \, \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle \right)_{\parallel} = d \, \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle,$$

hence, the Jacobi identity

$$\{\{F, G\}_{\Pi_{D}} + c.p. = \langle \left(d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle \right)_{\parallel}, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle, \Pi dH_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel}, \Pi dG_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle d \ \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p. = \langle dF_{\parallel} \rangle + c.p.$$

is fulfilled.

• **Observation.** Annihilator \mathcal{Z}^* of *TS* is defined as soon as *S* is determined.

Definition

Distribution $D = \Pi(\mathcal{Z}^*)$, associated with the foliation S, is called Dirac distribution.

- Two limited cases are possible:
 - **1** $TM = D \oplus TS$ Dirac case
 - **2** $D \subset TS$ tangent case.
- Let S be parametrized by $\varphi_i(x) \in C(M)$:

$$S_{\nu} = \{x \in M : \varphi_i(x) = \nu_i, \quad i = 1, ..., r\},$$

so $\{d\varphi_i\}$ – basis in \mathcal{Z}^* .

• Denote by $\{Z_i\}$ a basis dual to $\{d\varphi_i\}$, so $Z_i(\varphi_j)=\delta_{ij}.$ Then,

$$X_{\parallel} = X - \sum_{i=1}^{r} X(\varphi_i) Z_i, \quad \alpha_{\parallel} = \alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha(Z_i) d\varphi_i.$$

• Obviously $X_{\parallel}(\varphi_i) = 0$ and $\alpha_{\parallel}(Z_i) = 0$.

 $\bullet\,$ Then, from $\Pi_D({\alpha},{\beta})=\Pi({\alpha}_{\|},{\beta}_{\|})$ we get

$$\Pi_{D} = \Pi - \sum_{i=1}^{r} X_{i} \wedge Z_{i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \varphi_{ij} Z_{i} \wedge Z_{j}$$
(3.3)

where $X_i = \prod d\varphi_i$, $\varphi_{ij} = \{\varphi_i, \varphi_j\}_{\prod}$.

- In the Dirac case we have a canonical choice of Z = D, as all X_i are transversal to S and are linearly independent (det(φ_{ij}) ≠ 0). Moreover Π is naturally Z-invariant since L_{Xi}Π = 0.
- $\varphi_i(x)$ are then "second class constraints" in Dirac terminology.
- The basis $\{Z_i\}$ dual to $\{d\varphi_i\}$ can be expressed by X_i :

$$Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \left(\varphi^{-1} \right)_{ji} X_j, \qquad Z_j(\varphi_i) = \delta_{ij}.$$

• Π_D (3.3) attains the form

$$\Pi_D = \Pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^r \left(\varphi^{-1} \right)_{ij} X_j \wedge X_i.$$

• This Poisson tensor defines the following bracket on C(M):

$$\{F, G\}_{\Pi_D} = \{F, G\}_{\Pi} - \sum_{i,j=1}^r \{F, \varphi_i\}_{\Pi} (\varphi^{-1})_{ij} \{\varphi_j, G\}_{\Pi}$$

known as a Dirac bracket.

• In tangent case all X_i are tangent to S, i.e. $X_i(\varphi_j) = \Pi(d\varphi_i, d\varphi_j) = 0$, so

$$\Pi_D = \Pi - \sum_{i=1}^r X_i \wedge Z_i$$

and Z_i must be find separately from case to case.

- Our foliation is symplectic foliation of Π_0 , so $\mathcal{Z}^* = Ker \ \Pi_0 = Sp\{dc_i\}.$
- For GZ-bi-Hamiltonian chains Π₁(dc_i, dc_j) = 0, so we are in tangent case when project Π₁ onto S.
- Assume we found Z transversal to S and such that Π₁ is Z invariant. Then Π_D is Poisson with Ker Π₀ ⊆ Ker Π_D, so both tensors can be restricted to S.

Let

$$\pi_0 = \Pi_{0|S}, \quad \pi_1 = \Pi_{D|S}.$$

Theorem

Bi-Hamiltonian chains (3.1) restricted to S take the form of quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chains

$$\pi_1 dh_i^{(k)} = \pi_0 \left(dh_{i+1}^{(k)} - \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{ij}^{(k)} dh_1^{(j)} \right), \qquad (3.4)$$

where

$$\alpha_{ij}^{(k)} = \left(Z_j(H_i^{(k)}) \right)_{|S}, \quad h_i^{(k)} = (H_i^{(k)})_{|S}.$$

• It follows from the fact that $\Pi_1 = \Pi_D + \sum_{k=1}^m X_1^{(k)} \wedge Z_k$.

Lemma

$$\{H_i^{(k)}, H_j^{(r)}\}_{\Pi_D} = 0.$$

Theorem

Necessary and sufficient condition for compatibility of Π_0 and Π_D (hence π_0 and $\pi_1)$ is

$$L_{Z_i}\Pi_0=0.$$

Z_i are symmetries of Π₀ (so Π₀ is Z - invariant), hence Z is integrable distribution and [Z_i, Z_j] = 0.

N-manifolds

Definition

 ωN – manofold is a bi-Poisson manifold (π_0, π_1) with two compatible Poisson tensors, where at least one (say π_0) is nondegenerated.

- So *M* is endowed with a symplectic form $\omega = \omega_0 = \pi^{-1}$ and the (1, 1)- tensor field $N = \pi_1 \omega_0$.
- From compatibility of π_0 and π_1 follows that Nijenhuis torsion of N vanishes

and hence, the second two-form

$$\omega_1 = \omega_0 \pi_1 \omega_0$$

is closed.

LECTURE III

N-manifolds

- We further restrict to generic case, when N has at every point n distinct eigenvalues which are functionally independent.
- It means that π_1 is also nondegenerated and ω_1 is symplectic.
- Notice that

$$\pi_1 = N \pi_0, \quad \omega_1 = N^* \omega_0, \quad N^* = \omega_0 \pi_1.$$

Moreover,

$$\{f, g\}_{\pi_i} = \omega_i(X_f, X_g), \quad X_h = \pi_0 dh, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

• Let us come back to our quasi-bi-Hamiltonian chain (3.4). The distribution tangent to the foliation defined by $(h_1^{(1)}, ..., h_{n_m}^{(m)})$ is bi-Lagrangian:

$$\omega_0(X_{h_i^{(k)}}, X_{h_j^{(r)}}) = \omega_1(X_{h_i^{(k)}}, X_{h_j^{(r)}}) = 0.$$

Moreover, quasi-bi-Hamiltonian equations can be put into one matrix equation

$$\mathsf{N}^* dh_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathsf{F}_{ij} dh_j \Longleftrightarrow \mathsf{N}^* dh = \mathsf{F} dh$$

where $(h_1, ..., h_n) = (h_1^{(1)}, ..., h_{n_m}^{(m)})$ and F- control matrix (recursion matrix).

イロン イ団と イヨン イヨン